

The 1000 genomes project: A catalogue of human polymorphism created using next generation sequencing

Paul Flicek Vertebrate Genomics





1000 genomes project: motivation

- GWAS shows that systematic association studies can be used to map disease genes
- The first generation of GWAS was well powered only for SNPs with > 5% MAF
- Next generation sequencing now makes it possible to create a complete catalogue of human polymorphism for SNPs and CNVs







Exploring the full range of genetic variants



Exploring the full range of genetic variants



Exploring the full range of genetic variants



1000 genomes project: primary goals

 A public database of essentially all SNPs and detectable CNVs with allele frequency >1% in each of multiple human population samples







1000 genomes project: primary goals

- A public database of essentially all SNPs and detectable CNVs with allele frequency >1% in each of multiple human population samples
- Pioneer and evaluate methods for:
 - Generating data from next-generation sequencing platforms
 - Exchanging and combining data and analytical methods
 - Discovering and genotyping SNPs and CNVs from nextgen data
 - Imputation with and from next generation sequencing data







1000 genomes project: primary goals

- A public database of essentially all SNPs and detectable CNVs with allele frequency >1% in each of multiple human population samples
- Pioneer and evaluate methods for:
 - Generating data from next-generation sequencing platforms
 - Exchanging and combining data and analytical methods
 - Discovering and genotyping SNPs and CNVs from nextgen data
 - Imputation with and from next generation sequencing data

EMBL-EB

Help establish methodology for rare variants



1000 genomes project: other goals

- Enable population genetic studies
 - Identifying regions under selection (now or in the past)
 - Studies of processes of mutation and recombination
 - Population differentiation and history
- Improvement of the human reference sequence
 - Find and fix errors
 - The current reference sequence, and any one individual, is missing sequence present in others
 - Coordinate with the Human Genome Reference Consortium to represent all unique human sequence







Three pilots studies

- Pilot 1: 4x coverage of 180 people
- Pilot 2: 20x coverage of 2 trios
- Pilot 3: targeted sequencing of 1000 genes in 1000 individuals
- Data: 3.8 terabases deposited at the EBI/NCBI to date
 - Illumina/Solexa, 454, and ABI SOLiD platforms
 - Academic genome centers in US, UK, Germany, China and platform companies







Data production (Gb) by pilot and freeze

	freeze1	freeze2	freeze3	freeze4	total
pilot1	31.6	163.83	763.77	1856	2815.2
pilot2	205.2	102.47	476.33	178.17	962.17
pilot3	0	0	11.78	49.42	61.2
total	236.8	266.3	1251.88	2083.59	3838.57

>1,000 x coverage of human genome already in pilots!







Basic Requirements

- Basic File formats
 - New technology sequencing does not produce the same type of raw data as Sanger-style sequencing
 - SRF (sequence read format) stores the raw data for submission
 - srf.sourceforge.net
 - Alignment formats must be efficient if one is mapping half a trillion reads
 - These are being developed now
- Initial analysis tools
 - Most current aligners incorporate the quality scores into the mapping
 - There are now being tested on the 1000 Genomes trio data





Advanced Requirements

- File formats
 - Genome likelihood format
 - Representing an individual genome with appropriate uncertainty
- Advanced analysis tools (mostly under development)
 - SNP calling
 - Trio aware
 - Population based
 - Assembly & Search
 - These can all be theoretically done with de Bruijn graphs, but this is still a research problem for mammalian genomes
 - Enriched fraction analysis for ChIP, MeDIP, DNase, FAIRE
 - Extensive development efforts underway







What are we storing?

- Raw data submitted in SRF or SFF (454)
 - Originally
 - Raw and processed intensities for Solexa data
 - NCBI SRA stores intensities in a lossy format
 - Non PF filtered reads (if submitted)
 - Current
 - Raw intensities + base calls + quality + derived files
- SOLiD data arriving in both SRF and native formats
 - Most active development in this area
- Fastq files
 - Most downstream analysis starts here
 - Machine and calibrated quality scores
- Approximately 60 bytes per mapped base originally
 - We need to be at approximately 10 bytes per base for instrumentation
 - Total about 25 bytes per base





Bioinformatics Requirements

- New File formats
 - New technology sequencing does not produce the same type of raw data as Sanger-style sequencing
 - Alignment formats must be efficient if one is mapping half a trillion reads
 - Genome likelihood format
 - Representing an individual genome with appropriate uncertainty
- Initial analysis tools
 - Most current aligners incorporate the quality scores into the mapping
 - Trio aware SNP calling methods





The need for standard data formats

1. Submit				
2. Extract				
3. Map sample				

- 4. Recalibrate
- 5. Map 6. Merge and remove dups
- 7. Merge
- 8. Calc likelihoods
- 9. Combine l'hoods
- 10. Apply priors
- 11. Call SNPs/indels
- 12. Call genotypes
- 13. Collect read pair info
- 13. Collect depth info
- 14. Call SVs

Primary data SRF
Primary data fastq
V
Sample alignment SAM
Mismatch table QC data
Recalibrated data fastq
Lane alignment SAM
•
Library alignment SAM
•
Platform alignment SAM
Platform likelihoods GLE
Individual likelihoods GLF
★
Posterior probabilities GLF
•
Candidate SNPs/indels
Genotypes/haplotypes
Anomalous read pairs
Depth information
Structural variants

Unit (one file per …)	Who? (italics if not done yet)	
lane	production centres	
lane	DCC	
lane	Sanger to DCC	
lane	Sanger to DCC	
lane	Sanger to DCC	
lane	Data Processing	
library	Data Processing	
platform/individual	Data Processing	
platform/individual	Data Processing	
individual	Data Processing	
individual	Data Processing	
experiment/population	Data Processing	
individual	Data Processing	
library	Structural Variation	
library	Structural Variation	
experiment and individual	Structural Variation	

Slide courtesy Richard Durbin





Needing unprecedented data quality

- Variation in human DNA is ≈0.1-0.2%
- However, 90% of this is already in dbSNP, so event rate for new variants ≈1:10,000
- Per base error rates must be <1:100,000
- Must account for error properties of raw data







Analysis in process for Freeze 1,2,3

QC filter criteria Preliminary measure

Read flagged as failed by center <0.5% overall 'N' calls in first 25 bases Quality score <3 in first 25 bases

Average fragment pass rate Average pairing success rate 95.2%

3.22% 0.86%

97.2%









Sanger Center calibrated vs. un-calibrated Illumina

Genotype likelihood format: GLF









Slide courtesy Gabor Marth

Genotype likelihood format: GLF



Genotype likelihood format: GLF



Initial experience: SNP calling

- Deep coverage (20x) parent-offspring trio
 - 4,047,762 single base polymorphisms
 - 88% were already present in dbSNP

Analyses by Goncalo Abecasis, Richard Durbin, Stacey Gabriel



Initial experience: SNP calling

- Deep coverage (20x) parent-offspring trio
 - 4,047,762 single base polymorphisms
 - 88% were already present in dbSNP
- Validation testing of SNPs not in dbSNP
 - 1,200 tested using sequenom
 - 1,068 successful assays
 - 95% validated as true positive, in HWE, etc

Analyses by Goncalo Abecasis, Richard Durbin, Stacey Gabriel





Initial experience: SNP calling from 4x coverage in 36 unrelateds

- Haplotype-informed SNP calling (knows tree at each site)
- 93% detection for alleles seen 4x, 97% for alleles seen 5x



• >50% novel (compared to ≈10% for trio sample)

Slide courtesy Richard Durbin





Example: structural variants from 1000G data



Slide courtesy Matt Hurles for 1000G SV group





Raw and summary data distribution

- Continue to be available from SRA/ERA with more extensive discoverability within these resources and supported on 1000genomes.org
- 1000 Genomes specific data that is not appropriate for archives, such as simulation data, will continue to be provided on the EBI/NCBI dedicated FTP sites







1000 Genomes Browser

- Based on Ensembl and potentially including the Resembl plugin developed by Illumina
- A separate installation managed and updated at the EBI and available within the 1000genomes.org domain
- SNPs, GLF and coverage data for all individuals
- "Full data" for the trios and other high coverage individuals such as NA18507 using Resembl if available
- Built on current version of Ensembl web code (with project specific "skinning")
 - Expected update to new Ensembl interface in late Q1 or early Q2 2009















Interaction with dbSNP and Ensembl and UCSC Browsers

- Data will be loading into each browser once it has been "released" by the project
- These SNPs will be deposited in dbSNP and from there make their way to the major browsers
- Support from Ensembl and UCSC for data beyond SNPs and CNVs will likely be more limited and less up to date than what is available at the project portal







Ensembl/Resembl Displays





EMBL-EBI





earch: e/ Human 🔿 EBI 🔿 Sanger 🔿 🛶 😝

Putting this scale of data into perspective

- Current size of EMBL/Genbank: 235,135,312,328 nucleotides
- During September and October the 1000 Genomes project produced the equivalent of EMBL/GenBank every week
- Raw data is freely available now
 - ftp://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk
 - ftp://ftp-trace.ncbi.nih.gov/1000genomes/







1000 genomes project: plans

- Pilots show high quality data collected at scale, and that variants can be called reliably
- Project has now set as its target:
 - 1,200 people sequenced each to 4 x coverage
 - Data collection completed by winter 2009
- Quarterly data releases starting Jan 2009







Success Measures

- 1. The DCC is providing data as fast or faster than the analysis group can handle it
- 2. The Production Group is creating data as fast or faster than the DCC can handle it
- 3. The manufactures are expanding machine capacity as fast or faster than the production centers can handle it







What will the 1000 genomes project provide to human genetics community?

- Essentially all SNPs (MAF >1%) in each sample
 - Will find many, but not all, variants 0.2-1% MAF
- Highly complete catalogue of CNVs
- Information required for imputation of lower frequency alleles into existing GWAS samples
- Content for next generation more poweful arrays
- A set of validated methods for use of next generation sequencing in disease samples







Sequencing data production is now just an order of magnitude behind CERN

- The Large Hadron Collider produces only 15 petabytes per year from a single point source
- The LHC grid is 140 computer centres in 33 countries
- Tier 0 (CERN) can write data to the ten Tier 1 centers at 1.3 GB/sec sustained and have tested long transfers at more than 3 GB/sec









Sequencing data production is now just an order of magnitude behind CERN

- Sequencing is producing data in hundreds of centers in dozens of countries with 9 production centers and two Tier 0 sites
- The 1000 Genomes grid is, umm...











Data Transfer Infrastructure

- FTP does not work well for terabytes of data
- "Old fashioned" solutions
 - Copy the data onto a hard drive and mail the hard drive around the world
 - (Significant personnel costs)
- Infrastructure solutions
 - Create/buy dedicated lines for point to point transfer or direct connection to faster points on the backbone
 - Expensive to do collaborative analysis, but will probably be part of the solution
- Advanced technology solutions
 - Asperasoft

```
Aspera™
```

- Uses udp to transfer files to avoid tcp
- Can quickly saturate connections















Acknowledgements

- EBI: Laura Clarke, Zam Iqbal, Guy Cochrane, Rasko Leinonen, Eugene Kulesha, Stephen Keenen
- NCBI: Martin Shumway, Hoda Khouri, Justin Paschall, Bob Sanders
- 1000 Genomes Data Flow group members







Samples and ELSI Group

Leena Peltonen (co-chair) Sanger Institute Bartha Knoppers (co-chair) University of Montreal Aravinda Chakravarti (co-chair) Johns Hopkins Goncalo Abecasis University of Michigan Richard Gibbs Baylor College of Medicine Lynn Jorde University of Utah Eric Juengst Case Western Reserve University Jane Kaye Oxford University Alastair Kent Genetic Interest Group Rick Kittles University of Chicago Jim Mullikin National Human Genome Research Institute Mike Province Washington University in St. Louis Charles Rotimi Howard University Yeyang Su Beijing Genomics Institute **Production Group** Chris Tyler-Smith Sanger Institute Ling Yang Beijing Genomics Institute Elaine Mardis (co-chair) Washington University in St. Louis

Steering Committee

Richard Durbin (co-chair) Sanger Institute David Altshuler (co-chair) Broad / MGH / Harvard Goncalo Abecasis University of Michigan Aravinda Chakravarti Johns Hopkins Andrew Clark Cornell University Francis Collins National Human Genome Research Institute Peter Donnelly Oxford University Paul Flicek European Bioinformatics Institute Stacey Gabriel Broad Institute Richard Gibbs Baylor College of Medicine Bartha Knoppers University of Montreal Eric Lander Broad Institute Elaine Mardis Washington University in St. Louis Gil McVean Oxford University Debbie Nickerson University of Washington Leena Peltonen Sanger Institute Stephen Sherry National Center for Biotechnology Information Rick Wilson Washington University in St. Louis Huanming (Henry) Yang Beijing Genomics Institute

Data Flow Group (being formed)

Clive Brown Sanger Institute

Richard Gibb

Sol Katzman

Paul Flicek (co-chair) European Bioinform; Donna Muzny Baylor College of Medicine Stephen Sherry (co-chair) National Center Chad Nusbaum Broad Institute Ewan Birney European Bioinformatics Instit Aarno Palotie Sanger Institute Dan Turner Sanger Institute David Dooling Washington University in St. Jun Wang B

Ruigiang Li Beijing Genomics Institute

Stacey Gabriel (co-chair) Broad Institute

Richard Gibbs Baylor College of Medicine

Richard Durbin Sanger Institute

David Jaffe Broad Institute

Hoda Khouri N Martin Shumway National Center for Biotechnology Information Jun Wang Beijing Genomics Institute George Weinstock Baylor College of Medicine (Broad representative)

Funders

Alan Schafer Wellcome Trust

Francis Collins National Human Genome Research Institute Lisa Brooks National Human Genome Research Institute Audrey Duncanson Wellcome Trust Adam Felsenfeld National Human Genome Research Institute Mark Guyer National Human Genome Research Institute Ruth Jamieson Wellcome Trust

ne Pierson National Human Genome Research Institute Zhiwu Ren National Planning and Development Committee Jian Wang Beijing Genomics Institute

Analysis Groun

Gil McVean (co-chair) Oxford University Gonçalo Abecasis (co-chair) University of Michigan David Altshuler Broad / MGH / Harvard Paul de Bakker Broad / BWH / Harvard Brian Browning University of Auckland Sharon Browning University of Auckland Carlos Bustamante Cornell University David Carter Sanger Institute Aravinda Chakravarti Johns Hopkins Andrew Clark Cornell University Don Conrad Sanger Institute Mark Daly Broad / MGH / Harvard Manolis Dermitzakis Sanger Institute Peter Donnelly Oxford University Richard Durbin Sanger Institute Evan Eichler University of Washington Paul Flicek European Bioinformatics Institute Brvan Howie Oxford University Matt Hurles Sanger Institute David Jaffe Broad Institute Lynn Jorde University of Utah Hoda Khouri National Center for Biotechnology Information Eric Lander Broad Institute Charles Lee Brigham and Women's Hospital Guoging Li Beijing Genomics Institute Heng Li Sanger Institute Ruigiang Li Beijing Genomics Institute Yingrui Li Beijing Genomics Institute Yun Li University of Michigan Jonathan Marchini Oxford University Gabor Marth Boston College Steve McCarroll Broad Institute lim Mullikin National Human Genome Research Institute Simon Myers Oxford University Rasmus Nielsen University of California, Berkeley Alkes Price Broad / Harvard Jonathan Pritchard University of Chicago Mike Province Washington University in St Louis Molly Przeworski University of Chicago Shaun Purcell Broad / MGH / Harvard Noah Rosenberg University of Michigan Pardis Sabeti Broad / Harvard Paul Sche

> boratory technology Information alifornia

Simon Tavaré University of S Chris Tyler-Smith Sanger Institu Jun Wang Beijing Genomics Institute David Wheeler Baylor College of Medicine Hongkun Zheng Beijing Genomics Institute

teven